

**9<sup>th</sup> ICEEPSY 2018**  
**International Conference on Education & Educational  
Psychology**

**COULD WE THINK ABOUT FRIENDSHIP RELATIONSHIPS  
WITHOUT EMOTIONS? THE OTHER-ORIENTED EMOTIONS**

Ana Romero-Iribas (a)\*, Consuelo Martínez-Priego (b)

\*Corresponding author

(a) Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Camino del Molino s/n, Fuenlabrada-Madrid, Spain, ana.romero.iribas@urjc.es

(b) Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Costa Brava, 2. Madrid. Spain. cmartinez@villanueva.edu

*Abstract*

With the purpose of providing conceptual elements to help educators and psychologists in the development of friendship relationships through emotions, this article a) describes the essential elements of friendship and b) psychologically analyses its emotional content. The relation between those emotions and their certain hierarchical nature leads us to pay attention to the presence of other-directed emotions and other-oriented emotions. We use the analysis of theoretical and applied studies, especially from emotion and social psychology, which confirm the description of friendship. They appear as emotions linked to friendship: love, attachment, affinity, self-esteem (its emotional dimension), altruism, embarrassment, and hope; also, wellness and satisfaction are emotions of friendship. In a negative way, resentment, envy, susceptibility, jealousy and shyness. Psychology leads to the differentiation, among others, of emotions that provoke a benefit to oneself (attachment), derived emotions (well-being, satisfaction), selfless emotions (altruism), emotions that raise the friend (compassion and forgiveness) and reciprocal emotions (empathy, affinity). Especially love stands out. Its specific notes suggest new studies. It is recommended, in order to perform a psychological or educational intervention, to promote selflessness and decentering, as well as the ability to compromise and assume responsibilities, to be able to have deep friendships.

© 2019 Published by Future Academy [www.FutureAcademy.org.UK](http://www.FutureAcademy.org.UK)

**Keywords:** Friendship, other-oriented emotions, relationships, psychology, education. .



## 1. Introduction

Interpersonal relationships and more specifically friendship relationships have been and are a permanent object of study. Numerous authors have devoted important efforts to the understanding of friendship, its description and even the development of the relationship. Thus, friendship is linked to well-being; it is one of the elements that relieves contemporary loneliness and it is fundamental in the development of behaviors adjusted to reality; either being considered adaptive, or facilitator of prosocial behaviors. Therefore, it is justified that new findings regarding this so typically human bond are pursued. However, and especially because it is a human reality, this bond is rich and complex as research shows: friendship is not accessed through only one discipline, but through psychology, sociology, anthropology and education. These have diagnosed current situations or provided new knowledge that call for solutions linked to interpersonal relationships. Relationships that, in one way or another, are or resemble friendship.

Thus, contemporary *sociology* has found one of the bonds that provides stability and cohesion to today's western societies in friendship (Spencer & Pahl, 2006). In addition, it has become interested in this topic because research shows that it is one of the determining factors of well-being and happiness. Specifically, Lane (2000) shows how the levels of personal happiness are not as related to economic income as are warm and close interpersonal relations, and especially those with family and true friends.

Additionally, *psychology*, especially social psychology and psychology of emotion, addresses the study of interpersonal relationships of healthy and satisfactory character, either because they are necessary for well-being and undoubtedly linked to prosocial behavior (Dovidio, Piliavin, Schroeder, & Penner, 2017), or because they are key in fundamental human motivation (Baumeister & Mark, 1995). The influence of the sociocultural variable on interpersonal relationships, or in general, the contexts of personal encounters, is also an object of study in psychology (Mollenhorst, Volkert, & Flap, 2014). The studies regarding emotions show with increasing clarity, the problem of *loneliness* (Killeen, 1998; Blagin, Ergunova, & Pyankova, 2017) and those relationships capable of mitigating that unhealthy emotional state.

In the *educational* field, it is well known that relationships between peers cooperate with the motivating impulse to achieve better learning (Riegle-Crumb & Callahan, 2009); also, in order to achieve the ends of education, it is imperative that people establish friendship bonds (Romero-Iribas & Martínez, Priego, 2017). Finally, the *anthropological* study, the one that perhaps possesses the greatest history, is reassessing the value of the findings of friendship that belong to its long tradition. This need to retake basic concepts relative to friendship has also been seen from some previously mentioned disciplines, such as sociology.

Despite the diversity of approaches, it seems opportune to approach friendship to contribute to its development positively. For that purpose, the action of specialists both from psychology and from education are considered especially relevant. In this context, it is key to identify the characteristic features of friendship, which are common to both disciplines. Therefore, we intend to clarify the link between friendship and emotion, pointing out what kinds of emotions and which ones in particular are especially related to the friendship relationship. Without pretending to carry out an exhaustive study of this link and its possible problems today, nor wanting to describe globalizing solutions, it does seem important to

establish a theoretical framework that offers criteria for the design of the intervention in the development of friendship from the emotional area, which is essential to this relationship

## 2. Problem Statement

As repeatedly shown in friendship studies from the sociological and anthropological fields (Little, 2000), it is necessary to distinguish various types of friendship. The starting point of this work will be the Aristotelian distinction, which is still valid today (May 2012; Schwarzenbach, 2009). Aristotle distinguishes three types of friendship: for utility, for pleasure or for the friend him/herself (friendship of virtue). In the two first cases a friend is loved mainly for what he or she offers or contributes; and in the third, the good sought is the friend himself/herself and he/she is loved for who he/she is, more than for what he/she has or does (Aristotle, 1994). Without undermining the other types of friendship, this work focuses on the last of these, currently known as "*deep or close friendship*." The reason for that choice is double: a) it is the one that produces the greatest vital satisfaction and well-being (Lane, 2000); b) it is the one that might require educational action or external help the most because it involves not only the encounter in a determined context, but also a greater psychological and personal maturity. Seen from a more operational and observable point of view, it involves having skills and abilities in interpersonal relationships that are accompanied by abilities that allow for the stability of those same relationships (Shimizu, Nakashima, & Morinaga, 2018; Baker-Rogers, 2018).

The studies regarding interpersonal relationships in psychology are also traditional (although its high point may have been in the 60s) and are related to various academic disciplines and different research methodologies (Reis, Aron, Clark, & Finkel, 2013). Their relevance is shown when interpersonal relations are linked to vital satisfaction, well-being, etcetera. Thus, we are faced with the context of the notion of "health" in an integral sense just as it is defined by the World Health Organization: "Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity" (WHO, 1946). In fact, it is widely known that healthy emotions -which are regulated or adjusted to reality-, improve, allow or are a condition for the prosocial behavior; in short, they are a condition of satisfactory interpersonal relationships (Mestre Escrivá, Samper García, & Frías Navarro, 2002; Song, Closante, & Malti, 2018). On the other hand, the concern for the personal and social problem of loneliness (Cacioppo & Cacioppo, 2018) has been another cause of the research both in terms of social psychology and the psychology of emotion.

## 3. Research Questions

The questions that arise when making explicit if it is possible to think of friendship apart from emotions are: Which are the emotions linked to friendship? What type of emotions are those and/or which characteristics do the emotions of friendship have? Which are, then, the ones that can be approached from the fields of education and psychology to develop better relationships of quality friendship?

These questions that seem opportune and relevant, due to both the theoretical and practical interests, have made us consider the following objectives in the present study.

#### **4. Purpose of the Study**

The main objective of this study is to help educators and psychologists to take part in the development of friendship reaching even the other-oriented emotions, providing conceptual elements for that. The secondary objectives are:

- To describe the main elements of the friendship relationship
- To show the emotions involved in friendship
- To emphasize the role of other-oriented emotions in friendship.

#### **5. Research Methods**

This is a theoretical research aimed at preparing empirical studies and psychological or educational interventions. Following the methodology already used by Walker, Curren, and Jones (2016), we will approach theoretically the phenomenon of friendship from different perspectives since it is an interpersonal relationship classically studied from philosophy, sociology, anthropology, psychology and education. The article starts with a well-grounded description of friendship, parting from consolidated previous theoretical and applied studies in these disciplines, which shows which emotions friendship owns. With regard to the study of emotions, it comes from a description of this term in the fields of Social Psychology and the Psychology of Emotion (as a response, a process, a cognitive dimension, etcetera). Then, and taking into account recent psychological studies, we address the classification and/or hierarchical organization of emotions shown in friendship. Finally, it is the theoretical articulation and reconciling of the contributions around the emotions of friendship and the hierarchy of emotions, which allows to answer the questions posed of this work (section 3). Specifically, we formulate our findings in the following 4 sub-sections: the first and third collect well-grounded descriptions of the concepts (friendship and emotion); while the second and fourth contain the answers to the research questions: Which are the emotions linked to friendship? (6.2) What type of emotions are those and/or which characteristics do the emotions of friendship have? (6.4). Which are, then, the ones that can be approached from the fields of Education and Psychology to develop better relationships of quality friendship? (6.4)

#### **6. Findings**

##### **6.1. Findings in specific literature to describe the main elements of friendship**

Remember that the type of friendship we are starting from is commonly known as “deep or close friendship”. Its most important features are described in the *character friendship* from *Nicomachean Ethics*, but there are other aspects included as well that correspond to a more modern understanding of

friendship. This occurs with the accentuation of friendship as a freely chosen bond or with the fact that it is based on the personality of the individual, in the identity of each person (Montaigne, 2007).

The richness of friendship relationships and the intention to offer educators and psychologists help to intervene in the development of friendship by providing conceptual elements, requires presenting it in the most complete way possible, although it must be limited to the space available. For this, we will follow a description of friendship according to relevant literature.

The basic definition of a genuine friend is that he/she is someone who loves or likes another person for the sake of that other person. Aristotle writes about this that “those who wish good things for their friends for the sake of the latter are friends most of all, because they do so because of their friends themselves, and not coincidentally” (trad. 1994, p. 125 [1156b9–11]).

From there, the approach shows that friendship is, first, a type of love. Unlike others such as filiation or maternity-paternity, it is a free love, in the double sense that a) it assumes mutual choice and b) it is a disinterested and unconditional bond. This means that it is a relationship that seeks friends for themselves; it allows them to be themselves, knowing that they will be accepted just the way they are. This unconditional support and gratuity characteristic of friendship results in the affirmation of the person, which in the psychological area represents a reinforcement of self-esteem, a decrease in personal vulnerability, and a stimulus for growth (Shimizu, Nakashima, & Morinaga, 2018).

The friend is frequently described as “another self”, which shows that friendship alludes to a time of selfhood and otherness; that is to say, the friend is another *like myself*, and at the same time, is *another than myself*. This understanding of a friend as *another than myself* involves mutual knowledge, acceptance and respect. From an experiential psychological approach, recognizing in the friend “another self” requires first to be friends with yourself, enough self-esteem (Santos & Bass, 2017); and, when that does not happen, unhealthy friendships may occur, or vices may appear in that friendship such as possessiveness. In respect to the relationship, perception of the friend as “another self” results in attitudes of mutual understanding, sympathy, healthy complicity and search for mutual company.

Unlike *eros*, friendship is not an exclusive love. Lewis writes that “number two, far from being the number required for friendship is not even the best” (2000, p. 73), because nobody is so complete as to be able to show from their friends all of the richness they possess. In this way, in friendship, sharing is not dividing but adding. It is precisely because the presence of a new friend favors everybody’s friendship, it is the least jealous of all loves and a circle of good friends wishes to add more members to it (Lewis, 2000).

As Lain Entralgo (1986) stated, friendship includes three elements. First, it is a love of benevolence, which means that he/she wants the good of the friend and seeks it for him/her (beneficence). Moreover, together with benevolence and beneficence, friendship involves confidence, which is the communication between friends of that which is intimate and personal.

Indeed, friendship is based on sharing and that is its origin. However, what is shared specifically is their own privacy: that which is the most confidential of each and which cannot be known if it is not shown. Sharing what is private is exactly what differentiates the partner from the friend and because the richest way that a person has to express him/herself is using language, conversation is essential in every

true friendship (Little, 2000). This dialogical exchange is characteristic of friends and leads to mutual knowledge, reciprocal admiration and affective and effective union between them.

Trust is a necessary requirement to share privacy and one of its characteristics is that it cannot be improvised or forced. This is one of the reasons why friendship requires time and shared life because even when the desire for friendship arises fast (Aristotle, trad. 1994), the relationship itself requires growth and development over time. To speak of friendship relationships properly, their three elements must take place (benevolence, beneficence and confidence), and they need at least two requirements from the point of view of developmental psychology: decentralization and empathy. Certainly, it is not possible to develop disinterested relationships if the stage of cognitive egocentrism -characteristic of childhood- has not been overcome or if there is no ability to understand the feelings of others. Additionally, confidence between friends is only possible when there is awareness of one's own intimacy, a feature which appears in adolescence.

One of the factors for which friendship has been related to vital satisfaction and happiness, is that friends give meaning to one's own existence. This means that they give sense to it in at least three ways: because they allow you to live another life besides your own (Romero-Iribas, 2011), because they make it worth living it, and because friends are one of the reasons to live, although they are not the only one (May, 2012).

Cicero thought that virtue is what reconciles friendships and keeps them (trad. 2002), and from that perspective of friendship the virtues that usually accompany it, cannot be ignored:

- Respect: the other is loved for who he/she is and not for what he/she does or has.
- Sincerity, which is born out of mutual trust and is oblivious to pretence, hypocrisy, deceit or mistrust.
- Loyalty, which is built on sincerity and trust.
- At the core of this relationship is generosity or selflessness because it is considered that the friend himself is the true value.
- The disposition to forgive and ask for forgiveness is necessary because imperfections and mistakes are part of human behaviour and overcoming them through forgiveness is one of the aspects that every quality relationship requires.

Together with the virtues that accompany friendship, vices can also appear out of the relationship itself; or vices that affect it. For the purpose of this work, it may be illustrative to mention some of them because they usually appear in the development of peer relationships and therefore, they provide educational opportunities even in a negative way. In particular, friendship is negatively affected by resentment, envy, selfishness, susceptibility or criticism. In addition, vices from friendship itself are: "exemplarism", possessiveness, and excessive shyness which interferes with friends' trust (Lain Entralgo, 1986).

This brief approach to friendship allows us to see that it is intrinsically linked to emotions beyond the same friendly feeling (which does not seem to designate friendship in its entirety, although it is a factor or part of it.) That opens a different educational path for the development of the relationship beyond the ethical level, and for that, it is interesting to identify these emotions and what type they are.

## 6.2. The friendship emotions

Well then, which emotions appear linked to friendship? According to what is described here, and without intending to be exhaustive, the following can be identified: love, attachment, trust, affinity, self-esteem (its emotional aspect), altruism, embarrassment and hope. Moreover, in a negative way, resentment, envy, susceptibility, jealousy and shyness.

Most of these emotions are easily identifiable as linked to friendship after the development. However, two of them may require more explanation: a) embarrassment as a natural feeling that appears when the most intimate is exposed publicly (which in friendship, it is overcome by trust); b) hope, which is related to the fact that friendship provides meaning to human life and, the more meaningful it is, the more it fills it with hope.

Finally, we could say that at a psychosocial level and according to what has been described, friendship implies that: the human being is not an isolated being and it is an essential factor for personal and social development; that it is a free relationship and it is mutual knowledge. Therefore, emotionally, friendship provides overcoming the feeling of loneliness; well-being for the subject and health for the groups; development in altruist behaviors and empathic knowledge of another.

## 6.3. Contributions of psychological studies on emotion to friendship

A first approach to the analysis of the emotions that appears in the study of friendship, leads us to *emotion and its cognitive dimension*. After numerous emotions that this description leaves to psychological research, it seems convenient to pay attention to the nature of emotions and feelings (understood as a subjective experience of the emotion), to approach the common elements of the emotions of friendship. Emotion either understood as *process* or *response* (Fernández Abascal, García Rodríguez, Jiménez Sánchez, Martín Díaz, & Domínguez Sánchez, 2010), always responds to an eliciting stimulus. What makes a stimulus have that virtuality may be explained with the studies of Fridja (2001). However, only in some cases the direct parallelism between these laws of emotions and “the other” (the friend) as stimulus is clear, especially for being “someone” and not “something.” To this, we need to add the fact that emotions linked to friendship require either correlation or the other as beneficiary and not only as the trigger object, (it is the case of love and forgiveness as discussed below). In the 60s, it was established in the psychosomatic tradition that the stimulus to which we are most sensitive is the “psychosocial” stimulus, that is, the others (Martínez Priego, 2012).

We can also adopt a broader approach of the studies on emotion than seems more relevant for understanding friendship emotions; we mean, in general terms, to attend to the “cognitive dimension” of emotion (Lazarus, 1982). Indeed, although James (1884) and Damasio (2006) pointed out that the merely mental, or where there is no physiological participation, is not an emotion in its own sense; neither it seems emotions are triggered outside of a certain valuation of external (or internal) stimulus (Palmero, Guerrero, Gómez, & Carpi, 2006). If we attend to these variables, emotion can be defined as a "state of the subject" (psychophysical state) that occurs after cognitive-appraisal and conative or trend operations (classical appetite), in connection with the environment and one's own psychosomatic reality (Martínez Priego, 2010).

For this reason, the friend can be known-valued as important in relation to one's own goals, desires or worries; that is to say, in relation to one's own state according to the quoted definition. This is especially clear in emotions such as attachment and trust. In both cases, the estimate that is made of the other is linked to the "law of concern" (Fridja, 2001). If we go a step further, it is necessary to recognize that not only in these emotions is "the other" the trigger, but others such as love, empathy, sympathy, compassion, respect, forgiveness, embarrassment, admiration and altruism, share the need to refer to an external subject --another self--. This becomes a triggering of each one of these emotions, although, not always in relation to the "law of concern" (meaning, in someone who satisfies me or fulfils my needs.) In other words, emotions relative to friendship are emotions that are triggered by the "other", but that does not mean that they are necessarily triggered because the other "is convenient for me" or because "I need him/her"; they can be triggered independently to one's own needs. The friendship relationship itself, and even the good of the other, is the beginning of some of these emotional states.

If this is so, we can state that two emotions that are part of friendship --vital satisfaction and well-being--, are in this relationship "derived emotions" or they come from previous situations that are "valued" by the subject as positive. That is to say, in friendship if the subject considers that the goal of the interpersonal relationship is the satisfaction of the "self", then emotions like compassion, respect or forgiveness could not be authentic. Certainly, well-being can have various origins, but the well-being of friendship cannot be sought for itself, but it appears when there is a previous emotion (that can accompany knowledge or an action) related to another person: undoubtedly, this element refers to "personal unselfishness" (an attitude that we discuss below) or altruism (Blum, 1980). Some authors (Michie & Gooty, 2005), have also seen in emotions like compassion, respect, admiration or trust that they have the characteristic of referring to the other and not to oneself. They have been called "*other-directed emotions*" because they have taken place, according to these authors, for "the sake of the others" and for qualities or attributes of their actions. Moreover, they group the *other-directed emotions* under three categories: appreciation, gratitude and goodwill.

There is an aspect of friendship (reciprocity/mutuality and their implications) that can be detected in two of its emotions. On one side, in affinity (union), where it is clear because there cannot be a feeling of bonding or affinity except when it is something mutual. Otherwise, it would be a fake or empty emotion. Precisely due to this feature, friendship leads to overcoming the feeling of loneliness (Cacioppo & Cacioppo, 2018). Additionally, embarrassment is linked to reciprocity in one of its implications. This feeling requires This feeling requires both the psychological emergence of one's intimacy-privacy as the otherness (Dunn, 2004). Friends care for and share their intimate or private issues (psychical or biographical) for being valuable. And in love, personal intimacy is linked to commitment --and to passion in the case of romantic love-- (Sternberg, 1988).

On the other hand, affinity (union) requires other psychological requirements in the subject: decentering (requirement for joint attention) and empathic capacity (affection that knows and feels with the affection of others). Empathy is especially relevant in friendship because it is only possible in the simultaneity of emotional situations. Because it is about knowing and feeling with the other, empathy requires, -cognitively- decentering. This term, in our context, may not be understood as metacognition in respect to one's own thoughts or emotions (Linaresa, Estévez, Soler, & Cebollac, 2016), but as a thought



that understands the real as real (Rof Carballo, 1952) and not as related to me. Both empathy and decentering involve recognition of the other, not as an extension of me, but as different from me. In this regard, the difference between decentering and "adualism", which is the opposite, is classical. (Piaget & Inhelder, 2007). Indeed, adualism is the situation of cognitive immaturity in which the child does not differentiate the world from the self. Maturing will allow him/her to sense and focus on the other or perceive the other as different and primary before the "self". In other words, maturing is "decentering" (Polo, 2006). Therefore, both elements involve certain maturity of the personality.

Regarding other emotions such as hope and self-esteem (linked together), they have a different place: openness to the future. Hope involves self-transcendence and it requires self-esteem. In fact, hope has a certain foundation in the esteem that each one has of him/herself, but the esteem that others have for us is definitely the basis for this emotion (Winnicott, 1981). According to the classics, hope begins when a good is perceived as obtainable (Aquinas, trad. 2012, p. 914 [q.40, a.1]). In such a case, friendship can be a necessary help, either because of material participation in the achievement, or because of the value that we have and that makes us perceive that we can. Obviously, this is just the emotional principle of more nuanced feelings: the friendship relationship helps us discover real personal achievement: *the meaning of one's life*. Knowing the horizon of existence is the gateway to deep hope.

Finally, we found a characterization of emotions that seems to open new horizons: the *other-oriented emotions*. Without being able to provide a precise definition or one on which there is consensus, empathy, sympathy and compassion (Nussbaum, 1996) can be an example of the dynamics of these emotions (Hoening, 2018). Additionally, there are two others that are characteristic of friendship and, in our opinion, could be considered as other-oriented emotions: forgiveness and love (whose semantic breadth is not reduced to romantic love.) Among these emotions, there is a certain hierarchical system, so the inferior is understood and explained by the superior. Empathy has already been mentioned and characterized; however, here it appears as a condition for the following: only from it does it become possible "to suffer with the other" and "to reduce ourselves to suffer with the other". In forgiveness, the reduction towards the other is given "for the restitution of lost personal value." Therefore, as the link between emotion and morality is well known (Nussbaum, 1998), we can conclude that these feelings can be developed in a person of high moral level. There are authors who, attending to various cultural and religious traditions, explain these feelings in the context of "mercy" (Exline, Worthinton, Hill, & McCullough, 2003).

Thus, we understand that *other-oriented emotions* are more complex than the ones described until now because the other-oriented emotion leads us to "look at the other one better"; that is to say, search for "the best in the other", and even what "the other does not see of him/herself". As things stand, the "equality" that "true friendship" requires (Aristotle, trad. 1994) can always occur.

We stated that other-oriented emotions, hierarchically considered, are oriented towards *love*. That is to say, friendship love always requires otherness --another person--, and certain feelings in which the other is causer, aim, beneficiary, etcetera Love, also, is different from self-esteem in that it involves a bond. Being a "bonding feeling", as the "*affective warp*", it positively seeks the good "of" the other, not only the good "for" the other. The intention of good is not temporary (therefore, including altruist behaviours, but exceeding some of its limits.) Friendship love is a bond that is formed, among others, by

benevolence in the stated sense: because we talk about that person's own good, knowing the person is required, that is, knowing his/her personal sense.

#### **6.4. New findings about types of emotions: other-oriented emotions and friendship**

The study of friendship relationships has revealed its emotional content. The psychological analysis of these emotions shows different characteristics in each one of them, which suggests the existence of "types of emotions." The emotions of friendship require each other and have a certain hierarchical nature. Thus, to conclude, it is now necessary to respond conceptually and operatively to the following question: which emotions can be worked from psychology and education to develop quality friendship relationships?

According to what has been stated so far, some emotions are left out by their derivative nature. Indeed, life satisfaction, well-being and hope do not arise directly in friendship relationships, but rather they result from them. In the case of satisfaction and well-being, if they are sought in the friendship relationship, they can make it inauthentic because they turn a relationship that is naturally free into one that is self-interested.

In the described and analysed emotions, we find:

- Emotions triggered by the other and that report some type of benefit to the subject that experiences them, as is the case of the attachment that provides security. These emotions, worked in an isolated way, do not lead to friendship.
- Emotions triggered by the other, but that do not benefit the subject: altruism. In general, anything that involves "not seeking personal interest", that is, selflessness, is a necessary condition for the development of friendly relations. Loyal companionship is a concrete way to initiate the development of altruism (unselfishness).
- However, deep or true friendship requires maturity. Therefore, the cognitive capacity of "decentering" and the affective capacity of "empathy" (which require "selflessness") are required. Both can be educated early in life.
- Compassion and forgiveness, which are other-oriented emotions, do not participate entirely in the dynamics of preceding emotions. Not only do they require empathic ability, but, also they must measure up to the friend and make him/her better.

The type of love that is friendship has one more feature: it is a "bonding feeling". That is, commitments, responsibilities, etcetera facilitate the willingness to love. If love is a person-person bond, it is possible that emotion is an accompanying but non-founding element of love. Personal love may not be the first thing in time, but the end, the nature of true friendships

## **7. Conclusion**

In short, if we attend to emotion only as a response (physiological activation, nonverbal expression, coping / motor behaviour and subjective experience / feeling), the manifestations that are less proportional or less adaptive to reality can be regulated with different strategies. If the "emotional process" is addressed and, more specifically, the "evaluation-assessment" (the cognitive dimension of

emotion) we must attend, according to the most common schemes, to the evaluation of novelty and intrinsic pleasure; and also, the assessment of goal significance, coping and regulations (Fernández Abascal et al, 2010).

The study regarding friendship emotions encourages psychologists and educators to rework intervention strategies focused on the development of the emotional conditions of friendship, some of which exceed the traditional "evaluation-assessment" schemes. Finally, although the emotions of friendship satisfy the adaptive, social and motivational functions of all emotion, the requirements demanded by the "other-oriented emotions", encourage us to develop new research on selflessness, commitment or permanence of the bonds.

## References

- Aquinas, T. (trad. 2012). *Suma Teológica I-II [Summa Theologica]*. (Vol. IV). Madrid: BAC.
- Aristotle (trad. 1994). *Ética a Nicómaco. [Nicomachean Ethics]*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales.
- Baker-Rogers, J. (2018). *Autism, sociality and friendship: a qualitative enquiry*. Doctoral thesis; Sheffield Hallam University.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Mark, R. L. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497–529.
- Blaginina, V., Ergunova, O., & Pyankova, S. (2017). Loneliness as a Social Problem of a Modern Metropolis. *The European Proceedings of Social & Behavioural Sciences*, 38, 71-79.
- Blum, L. A. (1980). *Friendship, altruism and morality*. London: Routledge.
- Cacioppo, J. T., & Cacioppo, S. (2018). Loneliness in the Modern Age: An Evolutionary Theory of Loneliness (ETL). *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 58, 127-197.
- Cicero (trad. 2002). *La amistad. [On Friendship]*. Madrid: Trotta.
- Damasio, A. (2006). *El error de Descartes. La emoción, la razón y el cerebro. [Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason and the Human Brain]*. Barcelona: Crítica [1º ed. 1995].
- Dovidio, J. F., Piliavin, J. A., Schroeder, D. A., & Penner, L. A. (2017). *The Social Psychology of Prosocial Behavior*. Psychology Press.
- Dunn, J. (2004). *Understanding children's worlds. Children's friendships: The beginnings of intimacy*. Malden: Blackwell Publishing.
- Exline, J. J., Worthington, E. L., Hill, P., & McCullough, M. E. (2003). Forgiveness and Justice: A Research Agenda for Social and Personality Psychology. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 7(4), 337-348.
- Fernández Abascal, E. G., García Rodríguez, B., Jiménez Sánchez, M. P., Martín Díaz, M. D., & Domínguez Sánchez, F. J. (2010). *Psicología de la emoción*. Madrid: Editorial Centro de Estudios Ramón Areces.
- Fridja, N. H. (2001). "The self and emotions. In H. A. Bosma, & E. S. Kinner (Eds.), *Identity and emotion. Development through self-organization* (pp. 39-57). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hoening, C. (2018, 04 14). Augustine and the Metaphysics of Compassion. *CONFERENCE: Empathy, Sympathy and Compassion. The Dynamics of Other-Oriented Emotions*. Pittsburg, PA, USA.
- James, W. (1884). What is emotion? *Mind*, 9, 188-205.
- Killeen, C. (1998). Loneliness: an epidemic in modern society, 28(4), 762-770. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 28(4), 762-770.
- Laín Entralgo, P. (1986). *Sobre la amistad*. Madrid: Espasa Calpe.
- Lane, R. E. (2000). *The Loss of Happiness in Market Democracies*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

- Lazarus, R. S. (1982). Thoughts on the relations between emotion and cognition. *American Psychologist*, 37, 1019-1024.
- Lewis, C. S. (2000). *Los cuatro amores* (14 ed.). Madrid: Rialp.
- Linares, L., Estéveza, A., Soler, J., & Cebollac, A. (2016). El papel del mindfulness y el descentramiento en la sintomatología depresiva y ansiosa. *Clínica y Salud*, 27(2), 51-65.
- Little, G. (2000). *Friendship, Being Ourselves with Others*. Carlton North, Australia: Scribe Publications.
- Martínez Priego, C. (2010). Emoción. [Emotion]. In A. L. González (Ed.), *Diccionario de filosofía* (pp. 337-342). Pamplona: Eunsa.
- Martínez Priego, C. (2012). *Neurociencia y afectividad. La psicología de Juan Rof Carballo*. Barcelona: Eramus.
- May, T. (2012). *Friendship in an Age of Economics: Resisting the Forces of Neoliberalism*. Plymouth (UK): Lexington Books.
- Mestre Escrivá, V., Samper García, P., & Frías Navarro, M. D. (2002). Procesos cognitivos y emocionales predictores de la conducta prosocial y agresiva: La empatía como factor modulador. *Psicothema*, 14(2), 227-232.
- Michie, S., & Gooty, J. (2005). Values, emotions, and authenticity: Will the real leader please stand up? *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16, 441-457.
- Mollenhorst, G., Volker, B., & Flap, H. (2014). Changes in personal relationships: How social contexts affect the emergence and discontinuation of relationships. *Social Networks*, 37, 65-80.
- Montaigne, M. (2007). *Los Ensayos*. [Essays]. Barcelona: El Acantilado.
- Nussbaum, M. (1996). Compassion: The basic social emotion. *Social Philosophy and Policy Foundation*, 13, 27-58.
- Nussbaum, M. (1998). Morality and the Emotions. In E. Craig (Ed.), *Routledge Encyclopaedia of Philosophy* (p. 558). Routledge.
- Palmero, F., Guerrero, C., Gómez, C., & Carpi, A. (2006). Certezas y controversias en el estudio de la emoción. *Revista Electrónica de Motivación y Emoción*, 9(23-24).
- Piaget, J., & Inhelder, B. (2007). *Psicología del niño* [The Psychology of the Child]. (17 ed.). Madrid: Morata.
- Polo, L. (2006). *Ayudar a crecer. Cuestiones de filosofía de la educación*. Pamplona: Eunsa.
- Reis, H. t., Aron, A., Clark, M. S., & Finkel, E. J. (2013). Ellen Berscheid, Elaine Hatfield, and the Emergence of Relationship Science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(5), 558-572.
- Riegle-Crumb, C., & Callahan, R. M. (2009). Exploring the Academic Benefits of Friendship Ties for Latino Boys and Girls. *Social Science Quarterly*, 611-631.
- Rof Carballo, J. (1952). *Cerebro interno y mundo emocional*. Barcelona: Labor.
- Romero-Iribas, A. (2011). La amistad un tesoro. *Claustro de las Artes*, 4, 73-78.
- Romero-Iribas, A., & Martínez Priego, C. (2017). Topografía de las relaciones interpersonales: amistad y educación. *Revista Española de Pedagogía*, 75(267), 309-322.
- Santos, J. B., & Bass, E. (2017). Self-report and peer rating. In B. Hopkins, E. Geangu, & S. Linkenauer (Eds.), *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Children Development* (pp. 149-152). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Schwarzenbach, S. (2009). *On civic Friendship: including women in the state*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Shimizu, H., Nakashima, K., & Morinaga, Y. (2018). The Role of Individual Factors in Friendship Formation: Considering Shyness, Self-Esteem, Social Skills, and Defensive Pessimism. Pu. (P. b. Australia, Ed.) *The Japanese Psychological Association*, 1-10.
- Song, J. H., Closante, T., & Malti, T. (2018). Helping yourself helps others: Linking children's emotion regulation to prosocial behavior through sympathy and trust. *Emotion*, 18(4), 518-527.
- Spencer, L., & Pahl, R. E. (2006). *Rethinking friendship: Hidden solidarities today*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Sternberg, R. J. (1988). *The triangle of love*. New York: Basic.

Walker, D. A., Curren, J. & Jones, C. (2016). Good Friendships among Children: A Theoretical and Empirical Investigation. *Journal for the Theory and Social Behaviour*, 46(3), 286-309.

WHO. (1946). *Official Records of the World Health Organization*. New York.

Winnicott, D. W. (1981). *El proceso de maduración del niño*. Barcelona: Laia.